HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No.4037 of 2007

Petitioner	:	Chain Lal Kamde, S/o. Shri Chunni Lal Kamde, aged About 58 years, Presently posted as D.E. Telecom, Optical Fibre Cable, Microwave Building, Raipur Naka, Durg, District Durg (Chhattisgarh)

Versus

Respondents	1. (a)	Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Communications & Information Technology, Department of Telecom Sanchar Bhawan, 20 Ashoka Road, New Delhi.
	(b)	The Under Secretary, Union Public Service Commission, (Sangh Lok Seva Ayog), Dholpur House, Shahjahan Road, New Delhi.
	2.	Bharat Sanchar Nigarm Ltd. Telecom Projects West (M.P.) through the Chief General Manager Maintenance, Western Telecom Region, 11th Floor, Telephone House, V.S. Marg, Dadar (West), Mumbai.
	3.	Deputy General Manager, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd., Telecom Projects West (M.P.) G.T.B. Complex, A-Block, 1st Floor, T.T. Nagar, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh)
	4.	Deputy General Manager (MTCE) Western Telecom Region, 3rd Floor, Telecom Building, Fafadih, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

Single Bench: Hon'ble Justice Shri Satish K. Agnihotri

Present : Shri Manindra Shrivastava, Sr. Advocate with Shri Afroj Khan, counsel for the petitioner.
Miss Sharmila Shighai, Advocate for the respondent No.1.
Shri R.M, Solapurkar, Advocate for the respondents No.2, 3 & 4.

ORDER
(Passed on 18th July, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed a Review Petitioner dated 13.01.2006 against the order dated 05.10.2005 (Annexure P-3). This Review Petition is pending for consideration before the respondent No.1 (a).

2. Shri R.M, Solapurkar, counsel appearing for the respondents No.2, 3 & 4 submits that this petition is not maintainable in view of the pendency of the Review Petition before the Government of India.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that since more than eighteen months have passed, no steps have been taken by the respondent No.1 (a) to consider and decide the Review Petition filed by the petitioner, a direction be issued to consider and decide the same, in accordance with law, on its own merit, expeditiously.

4. In view of the above, the respondent No.1 (a) is directed to consider and decide the Review Petition dated 13.01.2006 (Annexure P-4), pending before him within a period of eight weeks, in accordance with law, from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the aforesaid direction, this petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Indu